

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
7 December 2000 (07.12.2000)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 00/73996 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: G06T 7/20, 5/00

(74) Agent: GRIFFITH HACK; Patent & Trademark Attorneys, G.P.O. Box 1285K, Melbourne, VIC 3001 (AU).

(21) International Application Number: PCT/AU00/00604

(22) International Filing Date: 26 May 2000 (26.05.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(30) Priority Data:  
PQ 0616 28 May 1999 (28.05.1999) AU  
PQ 5521 9 February 2000 (09.02.2000) AU

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): GLEBE SYSTEMS PTY LTD [AU/AU]; 21 Service Street, Glebe, TAS 7000 (AU).

**Published:**

— With international search report.

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): REID, John [AU/AU]; 21 Service Street, Glebe, TAS 7000 (AU). PHILLIPS, Scott [AU/AU]; 2A Hamden Road, Battery Point, TAS 7004 (AU).

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRACKING A MOVING OBJECT

WO 00/73996 A1

(57) Abstract: A method of tracking an object moving relative to a scene includes generating a background image from a plurality of images of the scene, dividing each image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each said background image element for each image to obtain a set of values, and determining from the set of values a background value for each of the background image elements, then acquiring a pair of comparison images, dividing each comparison image into a plurality of comparison image elements and determining a comparison value of said characteristic for each of said comparison image elements, comparing each said comparison value with said background value of said corresponding background image element to thereby determine whether or not each said comparison image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element, and determining from which comparison image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element which comparison image elements relate to objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said object, wherein objects in said pair of comparison images are matched by comparing spatial characteristics of objects of a following image of said pair of comparison images with spatial characteristics of objects of a preceding image of said pair of comparison images to match objects in the following image to objects in the preceding image. Each matched object is treated as a moving object to thereby track the movement of each said moving object relative to said scene.

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR TRACKING A MOVING OBJECT

Field of the Invention

5           The present invention relates to a method and apparatus for tracking a moving object.

Background of the Invention

10           There is an extensive literature concerned with the analysis of changing images and with the characterization of motion within images. Much of this work is based on the concept of optical flow. This allows the continuity equation of fluid dynamics to be applied  
15 directly to image processing problems. Thus much of the extensive corpus of knowledge dealing with the manipulation of the partial differential equations used in fluid dynamics can be brought to bear on image processing problems.

20           This approach has some serious shortcomings. The underlying assumptions that the domain of investigation, in this case the image intensity function, is everywhere continuous and differentiable is rarely true in practice.  
25 The image intensity function is usually a function on a discrete domain of subscript values not on a continuum and rapid changes in intensity called "edges" are common in most images of interest. Such rapid changes in intensity preclude the use of Taylor's theorem in establishing the  
30 underlying equations of the optical flow method. The practical consequence of this is that optical flow methods have not proven to be particularly successful in the processing of images. This is hardly surprising when one considers that the very features that facilitate the  
35 alignment of images at an intuitive level, that is, sharp edges, have been sacrificed at the outset.

- 2 -

Another approach is to divide the image domain into zones and detect the presence or absence of intensity differences within each zone. This method is limited by the coarseness of this subdivision, which decreases the spatial resolution, and by its inability to independently track two different objects when they pass one another.

Further, changes in pixel intensity can also be brought about by causes other than objects moving in the scene. In particular changes in scene illumination will cause significant changes in image pixel intensity. These intensity changes are then passed to downstream algorithms and give rise to spurious "moving objects" or false alarms. To some extent brightness compensating cameras ameliorate the problem but they cannot compensate for brightness changes that vary across the image.

#### Summary of the Invention

The invention provides a method of tracking an object moving relative to a scene, the method including:

- (a) generating a background image by acquiring a plurality of images of the scene, dividing each image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each said background image element for each image to obtain a set of values, and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;
- (b) comparing a pair of comparison images with said background image by:
  - (i) acquiring a pair of comparison images, dividing each said comparison image into a plurality of comparison image elements corresponding to respective ones of the background image elements, and determining a comparison value of said characteristic for each of said comparison image elements;
  - (ii) comparing each said comparison value

- 3 -

with said background value of said corresponding background image element to determine whether said comparison value is sufficiently similar to said background value to thereby determine whether or not each said comparison image element  
5 is sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element; and

(iii) determining from which comparison image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element which comparison image elements  
10 relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;

(c) matching potential objects in said pair of comparison images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following image of said pair of  
15 comparison images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding image of said pair of comparison images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and

(d) treating each matched potential object as a  
20 moving object to thereby track the movement of each said moving object relative to said scene.

The invention also provides a method of reducing the effect of variations in intensity of illumination of a  
25 scene, the method including:

converting intensity values of image elements of images acquired of said scene to a logarithmic scale of intensity to thereby form a log-intensity image; and

30 filtering said log-intensity image with a high-pass spatial filter in order to create an output image of said scene which is independent of the illumination of the scene.

The invention also provides a method of tracking  
35 an object added to or removed from a scene, the method including:

(a) generating a series of background images of the

scene by acquiring for each background image a plurality of images of said scene, dividing each said image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each background image element for each image to obtain a set of values and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;

(i) comparing each new background value with an old background value of a corresponding background image element of an old background image which precedes said new background image by a number of background images to determine whether said new value is sufficiently similar to said old value to thereby determine whether or not each new image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image element;

(ii) determining from which new image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image element which new image elements relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;

(b) matching potential objects in consecutive background images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following background image of said consecutive images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding background image of said consecutive background images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and

(c) treating each matched potential object as an object added to or removed from the scene.

The invention also provides apparatus for tracking an object moving relative to a scene, the apparatus including:

(a) image acquisition means;

(b) background image generation means for generating a background image from a plurality of images of the scene

- 5 -

acquired by said image acquisition means, said background image generation means dividing each image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each said background image element for each image to obtain a set of values, and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;

(c) image comparison means for comparing a pair of comparison images with said background image by:

(i) dividing each image of a pair of comparison images acquired by said image acquisition means into a plurality of comparison image elements corresponding to respective ones of the background image elements, and determining a comparison value of said characteristic for each of said comparison image elements;

(ii) comparing each said comparison value with said background value of said corresponding background image element to determine whether said comparison value is sufficiently similar to said background value to thereby determine whether or not each said comparison image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element; and

(iii) determining from which comparison image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element which comparison image elements relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;

(d) object matching means for matching potential objects in said pair of comparison images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following image of said pair of comparison images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding image of said pair of comparison images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and

(e) object tracking means which treats each matched potential object as a moving object to thereby track the

movement of each said moving object relative to said scene.

The invention also provides apparatus for reducing the effect of variations in intensity of illumination of a scene, the apparatus including:

logarithmic conversion means for converting intensity values of image elements of images acquired of said scene to a logarithmic scale of intensity to thereby form a log-intensity image; and

a high-pass spatial filter for filtering said log-intensity image in order to create an output image of said scene which is independent of the illumination of the scene.

The invention also provides apparatus for tracking an object added to or removed from a scene, the apparatus including:

(a) image acquisition means;

(b) background image generation means for generating a series of background images of the scene, said background image generation means generating each background image from a plurality of images of said scene acquired by said image comparison means, said background image generation means dividing each said image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each background image element for each image to obtain a set of values and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;

(i) background comparison means for comparing each new background value with an old background value of a corresponding background image element of an old background image which precedes said new background image by a number of background images to determine whether said new value is sufficiently similar to said old value to thereby determine whether or not each new image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image

- 7 -

element;

- (ii) determining from which new image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image element which new image elements relate to
- 5 potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;
- (c) object matching means for matching potential objects in consecutive background images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following
- 10 background image of said consecutive images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding background image of said consecutive background images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and
- 15 (d) object tracking means which treats each matched potential object as an object added to or removed from the scene.

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

20

In order that the present invention may be understood, examples of an embodiment of two aspects of the invention will be described with reference to the accompanying drawings. In the drawings:

25 Figure 1 shows a background image of a street scene;

Figure 2 shows a new image which contains a human figure;

30 Figure 3 shows the Boolean pixel array that is formed when the new image is tested against the range images;

Figure 4 shows a Boolean tile array formed from the Boolean pixel array;

35 Figure 5 shows a smoothed Boolean tile array formed by smoothing the Boolean tile array;

Figure 6 shows an image of a scene in overcast conditions;



- 8 -

Figure 7 shows an image of same scene in sunlit conditions and with a person in the foreground;

Figure 8 shows an image which is obtained when the image of figure 6 is converted using a logarithmic intensity scale;

Figure 9 shows an image obtained when the image of figure 7 is similarly converted;

Figure 10 shows an image obtained when the image of figure 8 is convoluted with a high-pass integrating filter; and

Figure 11 shows an image obtained when the image of Figure 9 is similarly treated.

#### Description of the Preferred Embodiments

The preferred embodiment of the first aspect of the present invention is particularly suited to cases where the moving objects account for only a small proportion of the intensity variance of the image. The process operates upon a regularly timed sequence of images of one or more objects moving against a static background. The image data generated by an image acquisition means in the form of a camera or video recorder passed by means of a video capture card and its associated software to a computer programmed to carry out the object tracking method. Software to carry out the method can be generated by any skilled programmer and therefore description of suitable software is not included herein.

Where the objects being tracked are humans, 5 to 6 frames per second is sufficient to enable an object to be tracked. The frame rate can be varied in accordance with the anticipated maximum velocity of the objects being tracked.

A background image such as that shown in Figure 1 is prepared by a background generation means from a

- 9 -

sequence of background images of the scene. Each background image is divided into a plurality of background image elements. The background image elements are chosen to be each pixel of the image but could be a group of pixels. A  
5 background value of a characteristic of the background image is determined for each pixel. In the preferred embodiment, the characteristic of the background image is chosen to be the intensity of the image. Thus there is a time series of intensity values for each pixel. Each value  
10 in the time series corresponds to one image in the sequence. This time series is binned to form a sample distribution of intensities for the given pixel and the mode or most common value of intensity is found for each pixel. This value is assigned to the corresponding pixel in  
15 the background image which thus constitutes background data against which other images can be compared. The mean or median can be used but are not as efficient. Objects that are moving in the scene will affect the values in the outlying bins of the sample distribution of intensities as  
20 they pass by the pixel but they will not, in general, affect the mode. Hence most moving objects will be invisible and only fixed and slowly moving objects will affect the background data represented by the background image. That is, the background image is effectively an  
25 array of background values which can be used as a basis of comparison.

Two "confidence limit images" or "range images" are derived by the background image generation means from  
30 the background image, a "high range image" and a "low range image". For each pixel in the background image, an intensity value is added to form the high range image and an intensity value is subtracted to form the low range image. The value to be added and subtracted may be a  
35 constant derived from a prior knowledge of the camera noise statistics or it may be estimated for each pixel from the sample distribution of intensity values for that pixel by

- 10 -

the usual methods of estimating confidence limits.

More specifically, the modal and upper and lower confidence limit images are calculated as follows. A modal  
5 image is constructed from a sequence of (say) 50 images as follows - For each pixel, an array of (say) 64 "bins" is set up and the bins are assigned zero value. The intensity value (which must lie between 0 and 255) for that pixel for each image is used to calculate a bin number,  $j$ , by  
10 dividing the intensity,  $I$ , by 4 and  $\text{bin}(j)$  is incremented by one. After 50 images have been processed in this way there is a numerical distribution of intensity for each pixel. The mode (most common value) of intensity for each pixel is found to form a background or "modal" image. The  
15 mode is chosen rather than the mean because outliers caused by objects moving past the pixel corrupt the mean. This gives rise to a "double exposure" effect in the background image. On the other hand outliers do not affect the mode providing there are not too many of them and passing  
20 objects are rendered invisible. The median would probably do just as well but the mode is easier to calculate.

When the mode is being computed the upper and lower confidence limits for each pixel are calculated at  
25 the same time from the same numerical distribution of intensity values by finding the root mean square of the difference between the binned intensities and the mode. This root mean square value is very close to but not quite the same thing as the standard deviation, the latter being  
30 the root mean square difference from the mean. Adding and subtracting twice the root mean square to the mode give the upper and lower confidence limits respectively. These values will be similar to the 95% confidence limits found by assuming a Gaussian distribution and using twice the  
35 sample standard deviation or those found using Student's  $t$ -test. Because of the presence of outliers, which equate to objects which the technique of the present invention

- 11 -

detects, a Gaussian assumption is not justified.

Once the two background range images have been formed each new comparison image acquired by the image acquisition means, such as that shown in Figure 2, is compared by an image comparison means with the background image to find potential new or moving objects in the scene. This is done pixel by a pixel - i.e. each pixel in the comparison image has its intensity determined by the image comparison means and compared with the intensity of the corresponding pixel in the background image to determine whether the comparison value of intensity is sufficiently similar to the background value of intensity. If the intensity of a pixel in the incoming frame lies between the intensities of the same pixel in the low range and high range images then the pixel is designated as a "False" pixel. If it lies outside the range then the pixel is designated as a "True" pixel, that is, one which is significantly different from the background image. This process generates a two-dimensional Boolean pixel array of "True" and "False" pixels. The Boolean pixel array generated by the image in Figure 2 is shown in Figure 3. The Boolean pixel array contains a large area of True cells 1 which are caused by the presence of the human figure in Figure 2 - i.e. by the presence of a moving object. It also contains true cells 2 which are due to noise in the new image. Initially each pixel that falls outside the range is presumed to relate to an object. Further processing of the pixels by a noise reduction means and the object matching means progressively eliminates pixels falling outside the range that do not relate to objects.

The image plane is then uniformly subdivided by noise reduction means into groups of pixels called tiles, where each tile contains an array of adjacent pixels. The Boolean pixel array is then used to generate a Boolean tile array by designating those tiles with more than, say, one

- 12 -

half of their pixels true as "True" tiles and the rest as "False". This tiling process is not essential but it greatly increases the speed of the ensuing steps and also reduces noise by reducing the number of image elements which are presumed to relate to objects and hence reducing the number of image elements treated as objects. The Boolean tile array derived from the Boolean pixel array of Figure 3 is shown in Figure 4.

The Boolean tile array may be smoothed by the noise reduction means to form a smoothed Boolean tile array. A new tile array is prepared which has the same dimensions as the Boolean tile array. Each cell in the Boolean tile array is tested to see how many of the surrounding tiles are true. If the number exceeds a preset threshold the corresponding cell in the smoothed Boolean tile array is set to True and to False otherwise. This is similar to passing a 2 dimensional moving average filter over numerical data. It is not essential but helps to eliminate protrusions such as shadows. The smoothed Boolean tile array derived from Figure 4 is shown in Figure 5.

The tiles in the smoothed Boolean tile array are then formed into groups of proximate tiles by the noise reduction means. A true tile that lies within a predefined distance of a given true tile is allocated to the same "space group". Each space group is treated as an object by an object matching means. True tiles do not necessarily have to touch to belong to the same group (hence they are "proximate" rather than "contiguous"). The proximity thresholds, the predefined distances in the x and y directions, which define proximity, may be functions of position in the image and of the scene geometry. They may be made larger near the bottom of the image where objects in the scene are closer to the camera. The proximity thresholds may also be varied according to the type of object being viewed and may be set differently if vehicles

- 13 -

are being viewed rather than people.

Once the space groups have been formed their statistical properties are computed by the object matching means in order to obtain a spatial characteristic for each object. These properties include the centroid of the group and its spatial standard deviations in the x and y directions in units of inter-pixel distance.

Tens of thousands of pixel values in the incoming frame are reduced by 3 or 4 orders of magnitude to a much smaller number of space group statistics; bitmap data has been converted to vector data.

Each space group is assumed to correspond to one or more real objects moving in the scene. Since solid objects are constrained by the laws of physics to move smoothly from frame to frame, proximity in time is as important as proximity in space when tracking real objects. For this reason space groups which are by their nature associated only with a single frame are incorporated into "time groups" which persist over many frames. The space groups of each new frame are compared with time groups derived from preceding frames to see if any matches can be found. A time group may consist simply of a single space group from a single frame or it may persist for many consecutive frames. Time groups which do not persist for a number of frames are discarded and not treated as objects. In the preferred embodiment time groups are discarded if they don't persist for more than three frames.

A space group is allocated to a time group if the centroid of the space group is sufficiently close to the centroid of the time group and if the spatial standard deviations of the space group are sufficiently close to the spatial standard deviations of the time group. Space groups which are matched to time groups are used by the object

- 14 -

tracking means to track moving objects.

If a single match is found by the object matching means the space group is added to that time group. If more  
5 than one space group matches a time group the one with the best match is chosen. If no space groups match an existing time group, the time group is killed off. If no time groups match a space group a new time group is started. When a  
10 space group is added to a time group the statistics of the space group are used to update the statistics of the time group. The centroid of the space group is added to a list of coordinate pairs associated with the time group and its spatial variance data are incorporated into the spatial  
15 thresholds are not absolute but are based on the spatial size of the groups as summarized by their spatial standard deviations. The size matching criterion is based on a "matching factor" which is a function of the ratio of the spatial standard deviations of the space group to those of  
20 the candidate time group. More specifically, the centroid and spatial standard deviation of each space group is calculated first. The centroid and spatial standard deviations of each time group are calculated from the sums and sums of squares of its member space groups.

25

The spatial standard deviations are the square roots of the spatial variances in the x and y directions.

When a candidate space group is tested for a  
30 match with a given time group it has to pass all four of the following tests before it is considered as a possible match -

1. Is the x-coordinate of the centroid of the  
35 space group (i.e. the mean x-value of the group) closer than the x-distance-tolerance to the x-coordinate of the centroid of the

- 15 -

time group?

5           2.    Is the y-coordinate of the centroid of the  
                  space group closer than the y-distance-  
                  tolerance to the y-coordinate of the  
                  centroid of the time group?

10           3.    Is the x-size-factor less than the size  
                  factor threshold?

            4.    Is the y-size-factor less than the size  
                  factor threshold?

            These tests can be expressed as follows:

15                            $(x_s - x_t)^2 < G \max(s_x, t_x)$                            (1)

$(y_s - y_t)^2 < G \max(s_y, t_y)$                            (2)

20                            $|t_x - s_x| / (t_x + s_x) < F$                            (3)

$|t_y - s_y| / (t_y + s_y) < F$                            (4)

25           where  $x_s$  is the x-coordinate of the centroid of  
                  the space group,  $x_t$  is the x-coordinate of the centroid of  
                  the time group,  $s_x$  is the x-variance of the space group,  $t_x$   
                  is the x-variance of the time group,  $G$  is a constant  
                  between 2 and 10 and  $F$  is a constant between .5 and 1.5.  
                  The y-direction quantities are similarly defined.

30

            Optimum values of  $G$  and  $F$  have been found by the  
            inventors to be 6.0 and 1.0 respectively.

35           Time groups can be visualized as groups of true  
                  tiles in a 3 dimensional space in which the dimensions are  
                  the pixel coordinates and the frame number. When a time  
                  group is terminated, that is when no space group can be



- 16 -

found which matches it or when it has persisted for a preset number of frames (usually ten frames), the time group is converted to a line segment. This involves the object tracking means fitting a straight line to the list of space group centroid coordinates associated with the time group.

Each line segment is a straight line in  $(x, y, t)$  space. The slope of this line provides an estimate of the velocity of an object moving in the scene to which the line segment is assumed to correspond.

Just as space groups were built up into time groups, line segments are built up into lines or "trajectories" by the object tracking means. When a line segment is formed it is projected backwards in time to see if it lies close to the most recent segment of an existing trajectory. If more than one trajectory is found the closest is chosen. If no suitable trajectories are found then the line segment is made the first side of a new trajectory. When a new line segment is projected backward it is also checked to see if it intersects the boundaries of the scene. If so an "entrance" has occurred and a new trajectory is started. Likewise the most recent side of each existing trajectory is projected forward in time to see if it intersects a boundary. If it does an "exit" has occurred and the trajectory is terminated and excluded from further consideration. When matching a line segment to a trajectory, a test is also carried out to see if the concatenation involves an unrealistically high acceleration. If so the concatenation is abandoned.

It will thus be understood that a trajectory represents the movement of an object and also consists of a series of line segments. Each line segment corresponds to a time group. The length of the time group will vary depending on the number of frames for which the time group

- 17 -

persisted. In the preferred embodiment, time groups which persist for three or less frames are discarded on the assumption that if they do not persist for a sufficient time they cannot relate to a moving object. The length of each time group is capped at ten frames. The number of frames chosen as the maximum length for each time group is chosen in dependence of two factors:

- (1) the number of centroids which are used to produce a line segment and hence the extent to which the line segment correctly approximates the position of the centroids; and
- (2) the smoothness of the resulting trajectory.

It has been found that ten frames provides a good balance between these factors. However, the choice of frames will be dependent on the application. For example, if many images are taken of slowly moving objects, the length of the resulting line segments would have less effect on the curve of the trajectory and hence more frames could be used to make up each time group.

A trajectory tracks the motion of an individual object in the scene. At any given time,  $t$ , the spatial coordinates,  $(x, y)$ , of the centroid of the object can be calculated or predicted by extrapolating the preceding line segment. This position information can be used to mark the object being tracked for display purposes or to keep a record of the movement of a particular object in the scene. It can also be transmitted from the computer in order to control another device such as an automatic pan-tilt head fitted with a second, high-resolution camera.

When objects pass one another so that their space groups temporarily coalesce then separate again, the extrapolation of line segments allows both the objects to be tracked and their separate identities maintained.

- 18 -

Each trajectory summarises the size, shape and kinetic behavior of a single object in the scene. The characteristics of a trajectory and/or its component line segments allow objects and behaviors to be distinguished and selectively reported. Thus trajectories with line segments having velocities above a preset threshold may indicate the presence of persons running, fighting or skateboarding. A trajectory enduring for a longer than a preset threshold time would indicate a person loitering in the scene. The size of the line segments as recorded in their spatial standard deviations is also a distinguishing characteristic and allows adults to be distinguished from children and animals, vehicles to be distinguished from people and vehicle types to be distinguished from one another.

It should be understood that the present invention is not confined to the above-described embodiment.

The term "intensity" which is used in the above description may be generalised to include any other quantifiable characteristic of an image pixel such as hue or saturation.

In a real environment the background image needs to be continually refreshed to allow for slow changes in the scene. A new background image is created every 100 frames or so, from which moving objects have been largely removed. This provides an opportunity to detect static objects that have recently appeared in the scene or disappeared from the scene. Each new background image (rather than each new comparison image) is compared by a background image comparison means with a pair of range images that have been saved some time previously. Typically the third last background image is used. In this case the process need only be taken as far as computing the line segments. That is, each background image is compared first

- 19 -

with a background image which precedes it in time by three background images to determine changes in the scene in the intervening period. That is, the mode of each new background image is compared with the confidence limits of the old background image to determine whether there have been any changes. Each change is treated as a slow moving object. The potential slow moving objects are then matched with potential slow moving objects of preceding background images to determine whether a change has persisted over consecutive frames. Any object which persists sufficiently long enough (typically for three background images) is recognised as being a change to the scene. A changed static object in the scene will give rise a new line segment that persists in consecutive background images. The size of the static object to which it corresponds may be estimated as before, allowing parcels to be distinguished from vehicles etc.

Another problem that besets this method of image comparison is the occurrence of pixels that are noisy due to environmental reasons. For example shiny objects reflecting moving clouds, wind blown vegetation, the shadows of wind blown vegetation and the shadows of tall buildings moving with the sun's motion in the sky. The algorithms described above for constructing space groups and time groups are sufficiently robust to allow pixels to be masked with little deterioration in performance. Pixels from problem areas can be made self-masking by computing the proportion of time for which each pixel is True. On a sufficiently long time scale any given pixel should only be true for a small proportion of the time. Any that are not can be designated as "noisy" pixels and excluded from consideration when the Boolean pixel array is formed by a masking means.

The second aspect of the invention relates to a high-pass spatial filtering process suitable for use in

- 20 -

combination with the object tracking method of the first aspect of the invention. In the embodiment of the second aspect of the invention a background image shown in Figure 6 and a current image shown in Figure 7 were firstly  
 5 converted to a new scale to give the images shown in Figures 8 and 9 respectively. Each image is composed of a plurality of pixels and the shading of the pixels represents their intensity value. The function used to convert the intensity value of each pixel,  $I$ , in each image  
 10 to log-intensity,  $L$ , was defined as:

$$L = m \log( I ) + c \quad \text{for } 4 \leq I < 256$$

$$\text{and } L = I \quad \text{for } 0 \leq I < 4 ,$$

15 where  $m = 42 / \log( 2 )$  and  $c = -80$ .

The function was made linear for very small intensity values to avoid the numerical complications of large negative values of  $L$  as  $I$  approached zero. The  
 20 parameters  $m$  and  $c$  were chosen so that intensity values between 4 and 255 mapped into the same range ( 4 to 255 ) for computational convenience. The conversion is made computationally efficient by computing 256 table entries  
 25 only once at the outset and then finding the appropriate value of  $L$  for each pixel by table look-up.

Next the rescaled images shown in Figures 8 and 9 were filtered by convoluting them, firstly with filter  
 30 coefficients,  $a_j$ , in Table 1 in the horizontal direction, and then with the filter coefficients,  $b_j$ , in Table 2 in the vertical direction.

$a_0$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	$a_6$	$a_7$	$a_8$
-1	-8	-12	8	26	8	-12	-8	-1

Table 1

$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$b_5$	$b_6$
1	6	15	20	15	6	1

Table 2

5

Finally the logarithmically scaled, filtered images were decimated by 2 both horizontally and vertically to give the images shown in Figures 10 and 11. Because the filtering process gave rise to negative values of intensity, the intensities were adjusted to lie between 0 and 255 for display purposes. In these figures the value zero is represented by middle grey, negative values are darker than this and positive values are lighter. Comparison of Figures 10 and 11 shows that only real differences in the scene - i.e. due to the figure in the foreground, are apparent and that the effects of the different illumination conditions have been removed.

It should be evident from the above that this aspect of the invention stems from the realization that changing the illumination of a scene changes the intensities of differently coloured objects in the image in a constant ratio. On the other hand most image processing operations are additive and subtractive rather than multiplicative. By converting intensity,  $I$ , to the scaled logarithm of intensity,  $L$ , this difficulty is overcome. If the scene illumination increases, the values of  $I$  for each pixel should all increase by a constant ratio and it follows that the values  $L$  for each pixel should all increase by a constant increment.

In practice the illumination of different parts of a scene may not change by the same amount over the entire scene. Nevertheless objects that are close together in the scene will, in general, experience similar

- 22 -

illumination changes. It follows that pixels that are close together in the image should take values of  $L$  that vary by the same absolute amount and that in general the differences in  $L$  between neighbouring pixels will be  
5 constant and independent of the illumination of the scene.

It follows that the logarithmic rescaling of an image as described above followed by convolution with any differencing filter will give rise to a new image which is  
10 independent of the illumination of the scene. Unfortunately the simple differencing of neighbouring pixels will greatly decrease the signal to noise ratio of the image.  $L$  is always positive so that the difference,  $\Delta L$ , is usually much less than  $L$  itself. On the other hand the variance of the  
15 camera noise is the sum of the variances of each individual pixel. In general this relative increase in noise will be intolerable.

This problem can be overcome by combining the  
20 differencing operation with an integrating operation whereby the  $L$  values of a number of neighbouring pixels are added together in order to increase the signal to noise ratio of the resulting sum. This is the major function of the convoluting filters whose coefficients are listed in  
25 Tables 1 and 2. The filter of Table 2 is purely an integrating filter. The filter of Table 1 is similar but the coefficients add to zero. Thus the  $z$ -transform has a zero at  $1+0i$  and the Fourier transform is zero at zero frequency. This means that the filter is a broadband, high-  
30 pass filter that detects broad edges in the horizontal direction. Experiments showed that there was no need for high pass filtering in the vertical direction as well as in the horizontal direction. Such additional filters tended to exaggerate the presence of rooftops and fence lines in an  
35 undesirable way.

The use of the filters had the effect of blurring

the image. This blurring allows the image to be decimated without this leading to the aliasing effects that would occur in the absence of filtering. The effects of camera vibration are also greatly reduced. Decimation in this way  
5 leads to improvements in the speed of downstream processing algorithms since there are fewer pixels to be processed in each frame.

It should be appreciated that this aspect of the  
10 invention is not limited to the above-described embodiment. In particular the filter coefficients were chosen specifically for distinguishing human scale objects positioned metres or a few tens of metres from the camera. Many other high-pass integrating filters are possible and  
15 may work better in different applications. Integration and decimation may not be desirable where high resolution is required. In well-lit environments in which intensity changes are uniform across the scene, the average log-intensity of the whole image can be subtracted from the  
20 log-intensity for each pixel and any other high-pass filtering can be dispensed with.

The filter desirably meets the following conditions:

25

1. Its transfer function should be zero at zero frequency i.e. at  $z = 1 + 0i$  in the  $z$ -plane,
2. It should minimize the variance of intensity  
30 over the time coordinate relative to the variance in intensity over the spatial coordinates in order to minimize the effect of camera noise relative to the "signal", and  
35
3. It should be well behaved and not give rise to aliasing and Gibbs effects.



Condition 1 implies that the coefficients must add to zero. Condition 2 is best achieved by having a single negative coefficient with a large absolute value balancing the remaining coefficients which all take the same small positive value. Condition 3 is best achieved by convoluting a one dimensional filter which satisfies the first two conditions with simple one dimensional Gaussian or Pascal's triangle coefficients. The convolution is carried out first in one direction and then in the other to give a two dimensional spatial filter with the desired characteristics. The order of the convolutions in the x and y directions is irrelevant.

The first and second aspects of the invention may be combined, by employing the high-pass spatial filter of the second aspect of the invention each time an image, whether that be a background image or a comparison image, is acquired. These images can thus be used as the basis of the comparison if the determination of which pixels are true and false pixels and hence to determine true and false tiles and carry out the remaining steps of the first aspect of the invention.

Herein, the embodiments of aspects of the methods of invention have been described as being carried out by a computer programmed with software written to carry out the various steps of the method. However, it will be understood that equivalent hardware could be employed to carry out the invention. Further, it will be understood that steps such as determining a characteristic value for each image element may be performed by a separate means which embodies a sub-routine and this sub-routine may be shared by other means to carry out this part of the method.

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A method of tracking an object moving relative to a scene, the method including:
- 5 (a) generating a background image by acquiring a plurality of images of the scene, dividing each image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each said background image element for each image to obtain a set of values, and
- 10 determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;
- (b) comparing a pair of comparison images with said background image by:
- (i) acquiring a pair of comparison images,
- 15 dividing each said comparison image into a plurality of comparison image elements corresponding to respective ones of the background image elements, and determining a comparison value of said characteristic for each of said comparison image elements;
- 20 (ii) comparing each said comparison value with said background value of said corresponding background image element to determine whether said comparison value is sufficiently similar to said background value to thereby determine whether or not each said comparison image element
- 25 is sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element; and
- (iii) determining from which comparison image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element which comparison image elements
- 30 relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;
- (c) matching potential objects in said pair of comparison images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following image of said pair of
- 35 comparison images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding image of said pair of comparison images to match potential objects in the following image to

- 26 -

potential objects in the preceding image; and

(d) treating each matched potential object as a moving object to thereby track the movement of each said moving object relative to said scene.

5

2. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 1, wherein determining said background value involves determining the mode of said set of values.

10

3. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein determining said background value of said characteristic involves determining a range of background values, and said comparison value is determined to be sufficiently similar to said background value if said comparison value is within said range.

15

4. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 3, wherein said range of background values is determined by calculating upper and lower confidence limits about the mode of said set of values.

20

5. A method of tracking an object as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein said pair of comparison images are consecutive images in a series of comparison images and each comparison image is compared with said background image to determine which comparison image elements relate to potential objects and wherein potential objects in a following image are matched to potential objects in a plurality of preceding images before being treated as a moving object.

25

30

6. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 5, wherein the spatial characteristics of potential objects of a plurality of preceding images are combined to produce a combined spatial characteristic for each potential object and the spatial characteristic of the following object is compared to the combined spatial

35

characteristic.

7. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6,  
wherein determining which comparison image elements relate  
5 to objects includes processing each comparison image  
element which is not sufficiently similar to the  
corresponding background image element to reduce the effect  
that noise has on image elements being treated as objects.

10 8. A method as claimed in claim 7, wherein said  
image elements are pixels and said pixels are grouped into  
tiles made up of an array of pixels so that said image is  
divided into a plurality of tiles, and wherein said  
processing involving determining whether or not the  
15 majority of comparison image elements in said tile are  
sufficiently similar and treating each tile which has a  
majority of image elements which are not sufficiently  
similar as an object.

20 9. A method as claimed in claim 8, including  
determining whether each said tile is sufficiently related  
to others of said tiles to be an object, grouping said  
sufficiently related tiles, and treating said sufficiently  
related group of tiles as said object.

25 10. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 9,  
wherein said spatial characteristic includes the centroid  
of the object.

30 11. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 10,  
wherein said spatial characteristic includes a measure of  
the size of the object.

35 12. A method as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 11,  
wherein said combined spatial characteristic includes  
spatial standard deviations from the centroid calculated  
for said plurality of preceding images.

- 28 -

13. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 12, wherein objects which are not matched to objects in the preceding image are treated as potential new objects.

5 14. A method as claimed in any one of claims 5 to 12, wherein the number of the plurality of preceding images is about ten.

10 15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 14, wherein the number of images used to generate the background image is about fifty.

15 16. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 15, wherein objects which have been matched to objects in the preceding frame for a predetermined number of frames are converted to a line segment, by fitting a straight line between the centroids of each object.

20 17. A method as claimed in claim 16, wherein said line segments are joined to form trajectories showing the movement of said object across said scene, by determining whether a following line segment is sufficiently related to a preceding line element.

25 18. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 17, further including masking undesirable image elements by determining whether the comparison value of an image element is not sufficiently similar to the background value too frequently to relate to a moving object and excluding  
30 said unreliable image elements from further processing.

19. A method of reducing the effect of variations in intensity of illumination of a scene, the method including:  
converting intensity values of image elements of  
35 images acquired of said scene to a logarithmic scale of intensity to thereby form a log-intensity image; and  
filtering said log-intensity image with a high-

pass spatial filter in order to create an output image of said scene which is independent of the illumination of the scene.

5 20. A method as claimed in claim 19, further including integrating the signal from adjacent pixels in order to increase the signal to noise ratio of the low frequency components of the image.

10 21. A method as claimed in claim 20, further including decimating the output image in order to create a further output image having fewer pixels.

22. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 18, 15 wherein each image is subjected to the method of any one of claims 19 to 21 when it is acquired.

23. A method of tracking an object added to or removed from a scene, the method including:

20 (a) generating a series of background images of the scene by acquiring for each background image a plurality of images of said scene, dividing each said image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each background image element for 25 each image to obtain a set of values and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;

(i) comparing each new background value with an old background value of a corresponding background 30 image element of an old background image which precedes said new background image by a number of background images to determine whether said new value is sufficiently similar to said old value to thereby determine whether or not each new image element is sufficiently similar to the 35 corresponding old image element;

(ii) determining from which new image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding

old image element which new image elements relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;

(b) matching potential objects in consecutive

5 background images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following background image of said consecutive images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding background image of said consecutive background images to match potential objects in  
10 the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and

(c) treating each matched potential object as an object added to or removed from the scene.

15 24. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 23, wherein determining a value of a characteristic for each image element involves determining the mode of a set of values obtained for each image element from said plurality of images.

20 25. A method of tracking an object as claimed in claim 24, wherein confidence limits are assigned to each value and the value of a new background image element is sufficiently similar to the value of a corresponding old  
25 background image element if the mode of a new image element falls within the confidence limits of the old image element.

26. A method as claimed in any one of claims 22 to  
30 25, wherein old background images precede new background images by three background images and matched potential objects are treated as new objects if they persist for three consecutive background images.

35 27. Apparatus for tracking an object moving relative to a scene, the apparatus including:

(a) image acquisition means;

- (b) background image generation means for generating a background image from a plurality of images of the scene acquired by said image acquisition means, said background image generation means dividing each image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each said background image element for each image to obtain a set of values, and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;
- (c) image comparison means for comparing a pair of comparison images with said background image by:
- (i) dividing each image of a pair of comparison images acquired by said image acquisition means into a plurality of comparison image elements corresponding to respective ones of the background image elements, and determining a comparison value of said characteristic for each of said comparison image elements;
  - (ii) comparing each said comparison value with said background value of said corresponding background image element to determine whether said comparison value is sufficiently similar to said background value to thereby determine whether or not each said comparison image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element; and
  - (iii) determining from which comparison image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element which comparison image elements relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;
- (d) object matching means for matching potential objects in said pair of comparison images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following image of said pair of comparison images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding image of said pair of comparison images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and



(e) object tracking means which treats each matched potential object as a moving object to thereby track the movement of each said moving object relative to said scene.

5 28. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 27, wherein said background image generation means determination of said background value involves determining the mode of said set of values.

10 29. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 27 or claim 28, wherein said background image generation mean determination of said background value of said characteristic involves determining a range of background values, and said image comparison means  
15 determines that said comparison value is sufficiently similar to said background value if said comparison value is within said range.

20 30. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 29, wherein said background image generation means determines said range of background values by calculating upper and lower confidence limits about the mode of said set of values.

25 31. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 30, wherein said pair of comparison images are consecutive images in a series of comparison images acquired by said image comparison means and each comparison image is compared by said image comparison means  
30 with said background image to determine which comparison image elements relate to potential objects and wherein said object matching means matches potential objects in a following image to potential objects in a plurality of preceding images and said object tracking means treats each  
35 object matched to a plurality of preceding potential objects as a moving object.

- 33 -

32. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 31, wherein said object matching means combines spatial characteristics of potential objects of a plurality of preceding images to produce a combined spatial characteristic for each potential object and matches the spatial characteristic of the following object to the combined spatial characteristic.

33. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 32, wherein said image comparison means includes noise reduction means for processing each comparison image element which is not sufficiently similar to the corresponding background image element to reduce the effect that noise has on image elements being treated as objects.

34. Apparatus as claimed in claim 33, wherein said image elements are pixels and said noise reduction means groups pixels into tiles made up of an array of pixels so that said image is divided into a plurality of tiles, and wherein said noise reduction means determines whether or not the majority of comparison image elements in said tile are sufficiently similar and said image comparison means treats each tile which has a majority of image elements which are not sufficiently similar as a potential object.

35. Apparatus as claimed in claim 34, wherein said noise reduction means determines whether each said tile is sufficiently related to others of said tiles to be an object, grouping said sufficiently related tiles, and said image comparison means treats said sufficiently related group of tiles as a potential object.

36. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 35, wherein said spatial characteristic includes the centroid of the object.

37. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to

- 34 -

36, wherein said spatial characteristic includes a measure of the size of the object.

38. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 32 to 37, wherein said combined spatial characteristic includes spatial standard deviations from the centroid calculated for the plurality of preceding images.

39. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 38, wherein said object matching means treats objects which are not matched to objects in the preceding image as potential new objects.

40. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 31 to 39, wherein the number of the plurality of preceding images is about ten.

41. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 40, wherein the number of images used to generate the background image is about fifty.

42. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 41, wherein said object tracking means converts objects which have been matched to objects in the preceding frame for a predetermined number of frames to a line segment, by fitting a straight line between the centroids of each object.

43. Apparatus as claimed in claim 42, wherein object tracking means joins said line segments to form trajectories showing the movement of said object across said scene, by determining whether a following line segment is sufficiently related to a preceding line element.

44. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 43, further including masking means for masking undesirable image elements by determining whether the comparison value

- 35 -

of an image element is not sufficiently similar to the background value too frequently to relate to a moving object and excluding said unreliable image elements from further processing.

5

45. Apparatus for reducing the effect of variations in intensity of illumination of a scene, the apparatus including:

10 logarithmic conversion means for converting intensity values of image elements of images acquired of said scene to a logarithmic scale of intensity to thereby form a log-intensity image; and

15 a high-pass spatial filter for filtering said log-intensity image in order to create an output image of said scene which is independent of the illumination of the scene.

46. Apparatus as claimed in claim 45, further including integrating means for integrating the signal from  
20 adjacent pixels in order to increase the signal to noise ratio of the low frequency components of the image.

47. Apparatus as claimed in claim 46, further including decimation means for decimating the output image  
25 in order to create a further output image having fewer pixels.

48. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 27 to 44, wherein each image is processed using the apparatus of  
30 claims 45 to 47 when it is acquired by the image acquisition means.

49. Apparatus for tracking an object added to or removed from a scene, the apparatus including:  
35 (a) image acquisition means;  
(b) background image generation means for generating a series of background images of the scene, said background

- 36 -

image generation means generating each background image from a plurality of images of said scene acquired by said image comparison means, said background image generation means dividing each said image into a plurality of background image elements, determining a value of a characteristic of each background image element for each image to obtain a set of values and determining from said set of values a background value for each of said background image elements;

(i) background comparison means for comparing each new background value with an old background value of a corresponding background image element of an old background image which precedes said new background image by a number of background images to determine whether said new value is sufficiently similar to said old value to thereby determine whether or not each new image element is sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image element;

(ii) determining from which new image elements are not sufficiently similar to the corresponding old image element which new image elements relate to potential objects and determining a spatial characteristic of each said potential object;

(c) object matching means for matching potential objects in consecutive background images by comparing spatial characteristics of potential objects of a following background image of said consecutive images with spatial characteristics of potential objects of a preceding background image of said consecutive background images to match potential objects in the following image to potential objects in the preceding image; and

(d) object tracking means which treats each matched potential object as an object added to or removed from the scene.

50. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 49, wherein said background image generation means

- 37 -

determination of a value of a characteristic for each image element involves determining the mode of a set of values obtained for each image element from said plurality of images.

5

51. Apparatus for tracking an object as claimed in claim 50, wherein said background image generation means assigns confidence limits to each value and said background comparison means determines that the value of a new background image element is sufficiently similar to the value of a corresponding old background image element if the mode of a new image element falls within the confidence limits of the old image element.


10

15

52. Apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 49 to 51, wherein old background images precede new background images by three background images and said object tracking means treats matched potential objects as new objects if they persist for three consecutive background images.

20

1/6




**Figure 1**




**Figure 2**

2/6




**Figure 3**




**Figure 4**





**Figure 5**



**Figure 6**



**Figure 7**




**Figure 8**


5/6



**Figure 9**



**Figure 10**



**Figure 11**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
**PCT/AU00/00604**

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>																						
Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> : G06T 7/20, 5/00																						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC																						
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>																						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC : G06T 7/20, 5/00																						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched AU : IPC AS ABOVE																						
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPAT, USPTO Web Patent Database, Esp@cenet, "intensity, log, filter, compare, background, vehicle, pedestrian, traffic etc"																						
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>																						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.																				
X	US 5034986 A (KARMANN et al.) 23 July 1991 Entire document.	1,3,5-7,10-18,23,27 29,31-33,36-44,49																				
Y	When combined with any of the following documents.	2,4,8,9,24-26,28 30,34,35,50-52																				
X	US 5748775 A (TSUCHIKAWA et al.) 5 May 1998 See column 4 line 64 to column 8 line 2 in particular.	1-18,23-44,49-52																				
Y	EP 749098 A (HITACHI DENSHI KABUSHIKI KAISHA) 18 December 1996 When combined with any of the above documents.	2,4,8,9,24-26,28 30,34,35,50-52																				
A	US 5097327 A (HASEBE) 17 March 1992 Whole document.	1-52																				
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex																						
<p>* Special categories of cited documents:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>"A"</td> <td>document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>"T"</td> <td>later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"E"</td> <td>earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>"X"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"L"</td> <td>document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>"Y"</td> <td>document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"O"</td> <td>document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>"&amp;"</td> <td>document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>"P"</td> <td>document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family	"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		
"A"	document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention																			
"E"	earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone																			
"L"	document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art																			
"O"	document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family																			
"P"	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed																					
Date of the actual completion of the international search 31 July 2000		Date of mailing of the international search report - 3 AUG 2000																				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Authorized officer  <b>P. THONG</b> Telephone No : (02) 6283 2128																				

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU00/00604

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos :  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos :  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos :  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

- (1) Claims 1-18, 23-44 and 49-52 being directed to an arrangement for the tracking of an object on an image.
  - (2) Claims 19-21 and 45-47 being directed to an arrangement for forming and filtering a log-intensity image.
1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims
  2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
  3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☒ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.  
PCT/AU00/00604

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
US	5034986	AT	156921	CA	2011049	DE	59010752
		EP	385384	ES	2107413	GR	3024929
		JP	2278387				
US	5748775	DE	69510252	EP	671706	JP	7302328
EP	749098	JP	8317373	JP	9073541		
US	5097327	DE	69024539	EP	400998	JP	3002984
		JP	3035920	KR	204442		
END OF ANNEX							